## Bonding in Phosphineborane and Phosphine Oxide: an *Ab initio* SCF-LCAO-MO Study

By J. DEMUYNCK and A. VEILLARD\*

(Institut de Chimie, 1, rue Blaise Pascal-67-Strasbourg, France)

Summary From *ab initio* SCF-MO calculations, *d*-orbital participation and  $\pi$ -back-donation appears important in PH<sub>3</sub>O but rather small in PH<sub>3</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub>.

THERE is much speculation concerning the nature of the co-ordinate bonding by the phosphine molecule  $PH_3$ . Two simple adducts of this molecule are the phosphine-borane molecule  $PH_3 \cdot BH_3^{-1}$  and the hypothetical phosphine geometries: P–H bond length 1.420 Å (experimental value in PH<sub>3</sub><sup>7</sup>), P–B bond length 1.93 Å (experimental value<sup>8</sup>), P–O bond length 1.48 Å (experimental value in Me<sub>3</sub>PO<sup>9</sup>), B–H bond length 1.19 Å (average B–H bond length <sup>10</sup>). All angles were assumed to be tetrahedral: this assumption appears reasonable on the basis of the experimental values for the C–P–C and C–P–O angles, respectively 106° and 112° in trimethylphosphine oxide.<sup>9</sup>

	PH₃·BH₃	PH₃O	$PH_{3}$	BH3
Total energy (a.u.)	$-368 \cdot 8480$	$-417 \cdot 3168$	$-342 \cdot 4382$	-26.3521
Dipole moment (D)	5.51	4.74	1.11	1.04
Atomic populations				
P 3s	1.46	1.20	1.54	
3po	1.26	0.74	1.67	
$3p_{\pi}$	1.70	1.62	1.56	
$3d_{\sigma}$	0.08	0.14	0.06	
$3d_{\pi}$	0.05	0.22	0.03	
Total	14.55	13.92	14.87	
X 2s	0.75	1.84		0.86
$2p_{\sigma}$	0.46	1.34		0.01
$2p_{\pi}$	1.64	3.50		1.72
Total	4.86	8.72		4.58
$H_P ls$	1.00	1.10	1.02	
Total	1.02	$1 \cdot 12$	1.04	
$H_X$ 1s	1.16			1.12
Total	1.18			1.14
Overlap populations				
$\dot{P} - \dot{X} (\sigma)$	0.458	0.586		
$P-X(\pi)$	0.100	0.646		
P–X (Total)	0.558	1.232		
3s $2s$	-0.022	-0.092		
$3p_{\sigma}$ 2s	0.018	0.007		
$3d_{\sigma}$ 2s	0.006	0.025		
$3p_{\pi}$ $2p_{\pi}$	0.084	0.438		
$3\hat{d}_{\pi} = 2\hat{\rho}_{\pi}$	0.016	0.190		
$3s 2p_{\sigma}$	0.200	0.239		
$3p_{\sigma}$ $2p_{\sigma}$	0.244	0.343		
$3d_{\sigma}$ $2p_{\sigma}$	0.012	0.057		
-				

oxide molecule  $PH_3O.^2$  The importance of 3*d*-orbitals in phosphorus bonding has been a controversial question for a long time and, for instance, it has been assumed that the co-ordination in  $PF_3 \cdot BH_3$  is enhanced by back-donation to the phosphorus empty *d*-orbitals.<sup>3</sup> Much discussion has been devoted to the nature of the P–O bond in phosphoryl molecules, whether it is best considered as a P=O double bond or as a  $\sigma$ -dative bond with some  $\pi$ -back-bonding.<sup>4</sup>

We report here the results of *ab initio* SCF-LCAO-MO calculations for the PH<sub>3</sub>O and PH<sub>3</sub>BH<sub>3</sub> molecules, together with calculations for the parent compounds PH<sub>3</sub> and BH<sub>3</sub> (in a tetrahedral conformation). We used a basis set of twelve *s* and nine *p* Gaussian functions on phosphorus,<sup>5</sup> ten *s* and six *p* functions on boron and oxygen,<sup>6</sup> five *s* functions on hydrogen;<sup>6</sup> to these orbitals, a set of *d* functions on P (exponent 0.55) and on O (exponent 1.0), a  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  function on B (exponent 1.0), and a set of *p* functions on H (exponent 0.8) have also been added.<sup>†</sup>

Calculations have been performed with the following

<sup>†</sup> The ternary axis of the molecules has been taken as the z-axis.

The results are summarised in the Table. As a test of the quality of our wave functions, we shall first compare our results for the phosphine molecule with those reported recently.<sup>11</sup> The basis set used in ref. 11 differs from our basis set only by the use of two sets of d functions. The corresponding energy value for a tetrahedral angle (pyramid height of 0.47 Å) is -342.440 a.u., slightly lower than our value. The corresponding values for the dipole moment, the phosphorus 3d population, and total population are 0.90 D, 0.17, and 14.85, to be compared with our values of 1.11 D, 0.09, and 14.87. Apart from a slight reduction in the d orbital population due to the use of only one set of d functions, our results are very close to the one reported by Lehn and Munsch.

A much debated question is the *d*-orbital participation in phosphorus compounds. From the Table, it is apparent that this participation is much more important in  $PH_3O$ than in  $PH_3BH_3$  or in  $PH_3$ . The *d*-orbital population is 0.09 in  $PH_3$  and 0.13 in  $PH_3BH_3$ , but rises to 0.36 in  $PH_3O$  Most of the 3d population in PH<sub>3</sub> O is of  $3 d_{\pi}$  type, while it is of  $3d_{\sigma}$  type in PH<sub>3</sub> and PH<sub>3</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub>. The *d*-orbital participation is also apparent from the overlap populations. The contribution of phosphorus 3d-orbitals to the overlap population for the P-X bond (X = B or O) is only 0.034 in  $PH_3 \cdot BH_3$  but rises to 0.272 in  $PH_3O$ .

Examination of the overlap population reveals some important differences in the nature of the P-B and P-O co-ordinate bonding. In both molecules, there is an appreciable  $\sigma$ -bonding between the 3s and  $3p_{\sigma}$  orbitals of phosphorus and the  $2p_{\sigma}$  orbital of boron or oxygen. In addition, there is in PH<sub>3</sub>O a significant  $\pi$ -bonding between the  $3p_{\pi}$  and  $3d_{\pi}$  orbitals of phosphorus and the  $2p_{\pi}$  orbital of oxygen. Such  $\pi$ -bonding is much weaker in PH<sub>3</sub>·BH<sub>3</sub>. This  $\pi$ -bonding and the correlative participation of phosphorus 3*d*-orbitals may be correlated with the  $\pi$ -donor ability of the co-ordinated group, the borane group being a very poor donor and the oxygen atom a relatively good one. A similar conclusion has been reached by Hillier and Saunders in a discussion of the bonding for the ligands PF<sub>3</sub> and PMe<sub>2</sub>.<sup>12</sup>

Some insight into the nature of the co-ordinate bonding is also given by an examination of the charge transfer. The formal charge of the phosphorus atom is +0.13in  $PH_3$ , +0.45 in  $PH_3 \cdot BH_3$ , and +1.08 in  $PH_3O$ , the charge of the boron atom is -0.42 in BH<sub>3</sub> and -0.14 in  $PH_3 \cdot BH_3$  and the charge of the oxygen atom -0.72 in  $PH_3O$ . This indicates that the charge transfer in  $PH_3 \cdot BH_3$ is relatively small, about 0.3 e, which is of the same order of magnitude as in  $NH_3 \cdot BH_3$ .<sup>13</sup> The  $\pi$ -charge-transfer is negligible and most of the  $\sigma$ -charge-transfer goes from the  $3p_z$  orbital of phosphorus to the  $2p_z$  orbital of boron. The large charge-transfer in  $PH_3O$  is the result of a large  $\sigma$ charge-transfer of about 1.2e towards oxygen and of a smaller  $\pi$ -back-donation from the oxygen atom towards the phosphine group of about 0.5e. In the three compounds  $\mathrm{PH}_3{\cdot}\mathrm{BH}_3,\ \mathrm{NH}_3{\cdot}\mathrm{BH}_3,^{13}$  and  $\mathrm{NH}_3\mathrm{O}^{14}$  which exhibit no backdonation, the computed dipole moments are very close, respectively 5.5, 5.8, and 5.7 D. In PH<sub>3</sub>O, this value is decreased to 4.7 D by back-donation of  $\pi$ -electrons.

(Received, May 18th, 1970; Com. 763.)

- <sup>1</sup> R. W. Rudolph, R. W. Parry, and C. F. Farran, Inorg. Chem., 1966, 5, 723.
- <sup>2</sup> E. Wiberg and G. Müller-Schiedmayer, Z. anorg. Chem., 1961, 308, 352.
  <sup>3</sup> W. A. G. Graham and F. G. A. Stone, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1956, 3, 164.
  <sup>4</sup> R. F. Hudson, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 1963, 5, 347.
  <sup>5</sup> A. Veillard, Theor. Chim. Acta, 1968, 12, 405.

- <sup>6</sup> S. Huzinaga, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 45, 2593.
  <sup>7</sup> C. A. Burrus, A. Jacke, and W. Gordy, Phys. Rev., 1954, 95, 700.
  <sup>8</sup> E. L. McGandy, Diss. Abs., 1961, 22, 754.

- <sup>9</sup> H. K. WANG, Acta Chem. Scand., 1965, 19, 879. <sup>10</sup> "Interatomic Distances," Chem. Soc. Special Publ. No. 11, (1958).
- <sup>11</sup> J. M. Lehn and B. Munsch, Chem. Comm., 1969, 1327.
  <sup>12</sup> I. H. Hillier and V. R. Saunders, Chem. Comm., 1970, 316.
- 13 A. Veillard and R. Daudel, Colloque International du C.N.R.S. No. 191, "La nature et les propriétés des liaisons de co-ordination,"
- Paris, 1969.
- <sup>14</sup> P. Millie and G. Berthier, Colloque International du C.N.R.S. No. 191, Paris, 1969.